



# Full Grant Application Scoring Rubric



## SCORING PARAMETERS

0 TO 1 POINTS	Does Not Meet Expectations
2 TO 3 POINTS	Needs Improvement
4 TO 5 POINTS	Meets or Exceeds Expectations

**Total Points Possible = 50 points**

Programmatic Scoring Review	
<b>Community Need for the Project in Coachella Valley</b> (5 points)	The applicant identifies and describes a specific need(s) for the project within the Coachella Valley by providing relevant, valid data that highlights the full scope of the need. The applicant clearly connects the community need to the project’s targeted population.
<b>Project Description and Use of Funds</b> (5 points)	The applicant describes the scope of the project and how the organization will utilize the Desert Healthcare District’s funding. The applicant clearly states the approach they are going to take to meet the community’s need and specifies how the success of this project directly relates to the District’s mission and current Strategic Plan.
<b>Alignment to District Goals, Strategies, and Performance Measures</b> (5 points)	The applicant effectively describes the alignment of the project to the Desert Healthcare District and Foundation 2021-2026 Strategic Plan goals, strategies and performance measures.
<b>Project Deliverables and Evaluation</b> (5 points)	<p>The applicant provides project deliverables that are specific, measurable, attainable, and time-bound. Project deliverables must align with at least one of the Desert Healthcare District and Foundation’s 2021-2026 Strategic Plan goals and a related strategy/strategies. Additionally, applicant clearly demonstrates the alignment of their project deliverables to the appropriate performance measures, as outlined in the application instructions.</p> <p>Each evaluation corresponds to a project deliverable. The evaluation accurately measures the project’s effectiveness, impact and includes appropriate qualitative and/or quantitative tracking</p>

	<p>methods. The evaluation section includes well-defined data reporting mechanisms and/or a clear and transparent narrative.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Evaluation measures and methods are clear; the applicant defines how they envision success.</li> <li>• Evaluation is in alignment with the deliverables of the project.</li> <li>• Evaluation is in alignment with identified Desert Healthcare District and Foundation’s 2021-2026 Strategic Plan goal(s), strategies, and performance measure(s).</li> <li>• An explanation is provided on how the data collected from the project will be utilized for future programming, partnerships, and/or funding.</li> </ul>
<p><b>Organizational Capacity</b> (5 points)</p>	<p>The applicant details their organization’s capacity to meet the demands of this project including allocated staff time, internal expertise, organizational structure, etc. Applicant includes examples that demonstrate that the human resource allocation to this project is appropriate (internal staff expertise, use of external consultants, advisory committee, etc.). The applicant demonstrates reliability for this kind of work (strength, a history or track record of achievements, related mission, and letters of support).</p>
<p><b>Organization Sustainability</b> (5 Points)</p>	<p>The application highlights their organization’s sustainability strategies around funding, staff recruitment/retention, effective collaboration and partnerships, thoughtful long-term planning, etc.</p>
<p><b>Partnerships/Collaborations</b> (5 Points)</p>	<p>The application demonstrates a collaborative process that includes multiple community partners involved in planning and implementation. Organizational partners are listed and each of their roles in the project are outlined. Letters of support and/or memorandums of understanding are included, as appropriate.</p>
<p><b>Budget</b> (5 points)</p>	<p>The budget is specific and reasonable, and all items align with the described project. The proposed budget is accurate, cost-effective, and linked to activities and deliverables.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• There are no unexplained amounts.</li> <li>• The overall significance of the project, including the relationship between benefits and/or participants to the programmatic costs are reasonable.</li> <li>• All line items are identified clearly in the budget narrative.</li> <li>• The budget shows committed, in-kind, or other funds that have been identified, secured, and in place to support the project.</li> </ul>

Fiscal Scoring Review	
<b>Fiduciary Compliance</b> (5 Points)	The applicant demonstrates a financial history that shows a continuous cycle of fiduciary responsibility of the Board through unmodified audited financial statements produced in a timely fashion, positive cash flow at the end of each fiscal year, asset ratio meets required debt load, and the Board reviews financial statements regularly.
<b>Financial Stability</b> (5 Points)	Funding sources for operations and programs are from multiple sources and are driven by a strategic plan for stability for both short- and long-term growth. If a strategic plan does not exist, other documentation is presented to identify future sources of funding. The requested grant amount is reasonable in comparison to the overall organizational budget.

**Total Score:** \_\_\_\_\_ / 50

**Recommendation:**

- Fully Fund
- Partially Fund – Possible restrictions/conditions
- No Funding